

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,152	06/30/2003	Hakansson Bo	1275	8148
7590 08/16/2006			EXAMINER	
DAVID J. SERBIN			WILKINS III, HARRY D	
LAW OFFICE OF DAVID J. SERBIN 1217 KING STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,152	BO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	·	
Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742		

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	or of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further or		i E below);					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL -324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
 □ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
3.	(Harry D Wilkins, III Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742	M				
		7 11 OHG 1792					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's arguments, with respect to Wanngard, the features argued as not taught by Wanngard are taught by Juda. With respect to Applicant's arguments regarding Juda, nothing in Applicant's claim excludes the gas diffusion cathode from being immersed in an electrolyte. The device included an ion-exchange membrane 17 dividing the cell into an anode compartment and a cathode compartment. Thus, Applicant has failed to dsitinguish the structure utilized in the present inventive method from the sturcutre of the apparatus and method used by Juda.